Review Article UDK: 657.92:343.352
Received: 29.2.2026 657.632
Revised: 14.3. 2026 Coresponding author:
Accepted: 11.4.2026 gruja.kostadinovic@gmail.com
How to cite**:** Kostadinović, G.,& Ilievska Kostadinović, M. (2026) The role of financial forensics in the prevention and detection of money laundering. *Horizonti menadžmenta*, Vol.6, 1, 123-138.

THE ROLE OF FINANCIAL FORENSICS IN THE PREVENTION AND DETECTION OF MONEY LAUNDERING

Gruja Kostadinović[2], Marija Ilievska Kostadinović[3]

Abstract: Financial forensics comprises multidisciplinary methods used to identify, analyze, and document financial irregularities and criminal activities. In modern conditions, money laundering involves complex and adaptive schemes that often exceed traditional control mechanisms, increasing the importance of financial forensics in AML systems. It enables the identification of suspicious transaction patterns, risk assessment, and a deeper understanding of financial flows, thereby enhancing AML effectiveness.This paper examines the theoretical framework, key methodologies, and tools of financial forensics, as well as its role in investigation, reporting, and institutional cooperation. Particular emphasis is placed on integrating forensic methods into prevention, transaction monitoring, and decision-making, along with ensuring the evidentiary robustness of findings. Financial forensics is thus a key component of modern anti-money laundering efforts, whose effectiveness depends on its integration into broader risk management and institutional frameworks.

Keywords: Financial forensics; money laundering; detection of financial crime; internal control; transaction analysis.

[2] Faculty of Business and Law, MB Univerzitet, Belgrade, Serbia, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6049-0916, gruja.kostadinovic@gmail.com,
[3]Faculty of Business and Law, MB Univerzitet, Belgrade, Serbia,https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6049-0916, marijailievska.kostadinovic@ppf.edu.rs

Introduction

Money laundering is the process by which illegally obtained funds are introduced into formal financial flows and "disguised" to appear as legitimate assets. Contemporary AML (anti-money laundering) systems operate in an environment characterized by high transaction volumes, advanced digitalization, and the continuous adaptation of criminal patterns, which increases the risk of suspicious flows "slipping through" the financial system despite the existence of regulatory obligations and control mechanisms (Oztas et al., 2024). For this reason, the literature emphasizes that traditional, predominantly rules-based approaches to transaction monitoring often generate a large number of false positives, operational bottlenecks, and increased costs, while more sophisticated approaches (e.g., advanced analytics, graph analysis, anomaly detection) are becoming an increasingly important component of the modern AML arsenal (Oztas et al., 2024).

In such a context, financial forensics (forensic accounting and financial investigations) assumes the role of a "deeper analytical layer" that complements standard controls. Unlike routine auditing and formal procedural compliance checks, financial forensics focuses on reconstructing money flows, identifying concealed ownership and control structures, and collecting and structuring evidence usable in internal disciplinary procedures, regulatory processes, and judicial proceedings (Milojević & Milanović, 2025; Žužić Dupovac et al., 2025). Some studies mapping the development of the field highlight that forensic accounting combines accounting, auditing, and investigative skills precisely because financial crime increasingly appears as a "hybrid" phenomenon—a combination of formally legal transactions and substantively illegal intent (Ozili, 2023).

A key "institutional bridge" between financial institutions and law enforcement authorities within the AML system is the mechanism for reporting suspicious transactions (STR/SAR). However, the literature warns that the mere obligation to report does not guarantee effectiveness: the outcomes of STR systems depend on the quality of suspicion assessment, reporting thresholds, institutional feedback loops, and the manner in which data are operationally utilized in subsequent investigations (Chaikin, 2009). Recent contributions further operationalize what "effectiveness" means in the context of STR mechanisms through criteria such as informational value, timeliness, analytical usability, and investigative relevance (Gowhor, 2024).

This creates space for financial forensics to act as a factor that enhances the quality (not just the quantity) of suspicious reports, through more precise pattern profiling, data triangulation, and documentation of indicators.

A notable development trend in recent years relates to the application of machine learning in ranking and prioritizing transactions for further analysis. Empirical research indicates that such models can help direct investigative attention toward the "most likely" suspicious flows, potentially reducing the burden created by excessive alerts in rules-based systems (Jullum et al., 2020). Nevertheless, even when advanced models are used, issues of explainability and evidentiary robustness remain: regulatory and judicial frameworks require conclusions to be well-argued and verifiable, which is why financial forensics often serves as a methodological "validation framework"—transforming analytical signals into evidence-supported narratives of financial flows and related entities (Oztas et al., 2024; Žužić Dupovac et al., 2025).

The academic literature also reflects growing interest in the systematization of forensic accounting as a discipline: bibliometric analyses (based on Scopus datasets) show an expansion of topics from traditional fraud toward a broader spectrum of financial crime, including AML, regulatory compliance, and digital footprints (Ellili et al., 2024; Kumar et al., 2025). These findings are relevant for establishing the theoretical framework of this paper: the "role of financial forensics in preventing and detecting money laundering" can be conceptually analyzed across three levels: (1) preventive (control design and risk assessment), (2) detective (identification of suspicious patterns and reconstruction of flows), and (3) evidentiary-procedural (documentation, reporting, and procedural support) (Ozili, 2023; Žužić Dupovac et al., 2025).

An additional challenge of modern AML systems lies in the fact that a large number of signals are generated automatically, while their actual value is confirmed only through investigative interpretation and contextualization within the broader client business profile. Therefore, financial forensics should not be viewed solely as a reactive mechanism following the identification of suspicion, but also as a tool that helps transform technical alerts into meaningful risk patterns. This approach is particularly important in cases involving complex ownership structures, related-party transactions, and behavioral patterns that may appear lawful in isolation but collectively

indicate potential misuse of the financial system (Žužić Dupovac et al., 2025).

Furthermore, financial forensics contributes to improving the quality of AML decision-making by strengthening the linkage between preventive controls, detection mechanisms, and evidentiary processes. When forensic findings are systematically fed back into internal policies and risk assessment models, institutions can more precisely calibrate detection thresholds, reduce irrelevant alerts, and enhance the usability of reports submitted to competent authorities. In this way, financial forensics is affirmed as a function that integrates technological analytics, regulatory compliance, and practical evidentiary logic in combating money laundering (Žužić Dupovac et al., 2025).

Building on the above, this paper conceptualizes financial forensics as an integrative mechanism within AML systems, with a focus on its capacity to connect transaction monitoring, STR/SAR practices, and investigative methodologies in order to enable earlier detection and more effective prosecution of money laundering (Chaikin, 2009; Gowhor, 2024; Jullum et al., 2020).

Theoretical Framework and Concept of Financial Forensics

Financial forensics (in a narrower sense: forensic accounting) represents a set of specialized accounting, auditing, and investigative procedures aimed at detecting, explaining, and evidentially documenting financial irregularities (fraud, financial statement manipulation, embezzlement, and corrupt financial flows). Compared to "traditional" auditing, the focus shifts from providing reasonable assurance on the accuracy of financial statements to a hypothesis-driven investigation and reconstruction of events: (1) what happened, (2) how it was carried out, (3) who was involved, (4) what the financial impact was, and (5) how to make the findings procedurally sustainable (evidentially admissible) in litigation or criminal proceedings.

In the literature, financial forensics is often described as a domain encompassing three functional "pillars": (a) investigative forensic analysis, (b) litigation support, and (c) expert witnessing. This framework is important because it highlights that forensic analysis is not merely a "detection technique," but also a method of translating financial facts into legally relevant conclusions (Tiwari & Debnath, 2017).

From a modeling perspective, contemporary literature further emphasizes the "predictive" and "regulatory" dimensions of forensic accounting—i.e., the use of forensic techniques for early identification of the likelihood of financial misconduct, through indicators and patterns that precede formal investigations (Honigsberg, 2020). At the level of disciplinary research, reviews map key thematic areas (detection techniques, litigation, corporate governance, the role of technology) and point to the growing interdisciplinarity of financial forensics—from accounting and auditing toward law, data analytics, and criminology (Ozili, 2023).

The methodology of financial forensics typically combines (1) analytical procedures and (2) investigative techniques. Analytical procedures include anomaly testing, trend and ratio analysis, reasonableness checks, transaction segmentation by risk, and the identification of unusual patterns. In digital environments, where evidence is increasingly found in ERP systems, email communications, logs, and metadata, forensic practice relies on digital forensic tools and the ability to integrate financial and non-financial evidence (Pearson & Singleton, 2008).

Recent literature highlights a shift toward integrating "big data" frameworks (large transaction volumes, heterogeneous data sources) with forensic procedures to reduce opportunities for internal fraud and enhance detection efficiency (Akinbowale, Mashigo, & Zerihun, 2023). Additionally, theoretical-methodological studies emphasize that the evolution of crime-related forensic methodology has historically progressed from manual reconstruction and document analysis toward technologically supported approaches (e.g., continuous monitoring, specialized software, advanced analytics) (Louwers, 2015).

As an example of a formalized analytical tool, forensic practice also employs strategic mapping (e.g., linking objectives, risks, indicators, and controls) to establish systems that connect fraud risk management with forensic functions and decision-making—particularly in organizations aiming to use forensics not only reactively but also preventively (Yang & Lee, 2020). One of the key distinctions between financial forensics and "pure" analytics is the requirement that findings be procedurally and evidentially sustainable. This entails: a clear methodology, traceability (audit trail), preservation of evidence integrity, bias control, and transparent justification of conclusions.

In the international context, standards for the admissibility of expert findings vary across jurisdictions, but the literature emphasizes that courts and regulators increasingly expect forensic methods to be reliable, verifiable, and relevant—especially when advanced analytical models are used. In legal-accounting analyses, forensic accounting is positioned as an instrument that supports both regulatory objectives and private stakeholders (investors, creditors), while raising a persistent question: how to ensure that detection methods (screening/prediction) are sufficiently robust to withstand legal scrutiny (Honigsberg, 2020).

Furthermore, theoretical discussions on crime-related forensic methodology stress that the evolution of analytical tools must be accompanied by the development of documentation procedures and quality control mechanisms; otherwise, there is a risk that sophisticated analysis remains "operationally useful" but procedurally vulnerable (Louwers, 2015).

In summary, the theoretical framework of financial forensics in this paper is based on:

1. a functional model (investigation–litigation support–expert testimony),
2. a technological-methodological model (from documentation to advanced analytics and big data integration), and
3. a legal/standardization requirement that findings be evidentially sustainable and clearly substantiated.

Based on this framework, financial forensics can be understood as an integrative discipline that combines analytical precision, investigative logic, and legal relevance. Its distinctiveness lies not only in the use of specialized techniques, but also in a mode of thinking that connects financial data with business context, actor behavior, and regulatory expectations. In this way, financial forensics transcends a fragmented approach to financial control and enables a holistic understanding of financial irregularities as processes rather than isolated events (Hopwood, Leiner, & Young, 2008).

An important implication of this approach is the shift from exclusively reactive responses toward preventive and proactive action. In this sense, financial forensics contributes to the development of early warning systems, improved risk assessment, and enhanced organizational capacity to identify

behavioral patterns that precede financial crime. This evolution aligns with broader developments in fraud and financial crime theories, which emphasize systemic and preventive approaches rather than purely post hoc detection (Dorminey et al., 2012).

At the same time, the theoretical framework indicates that the effectiveness of financial forensics depends on the institutional and organizational conditions in which it is applied. Without clear procedures, adequate competencies, and defined quality standards, forensic analyses may remain confined to a technical level without achieving full practical impact. Therefore, contemporary approaches emphasize the need for systemic integration of financial forensics into broader frameworks of corporate governance, risk management, and regulatory compliance, ensuring continuity between preventive, detective, and enforcement functions (Hopwood et al., 2008; Dorminey et al., 2012).

Finally, as conceptualized in this chapter, financial forensics provides the foundation for understanding its role in specific areas of application. Particularly in the domain of preventing and detecting money laundering, these theoretical models and methodological principles enable the analysis of how forensic techniques are operationalized within AML systems—both in the prevention phase and in the stages of detection, investigation, and institutional response. On this basis, the following chapter examines the role of financial forensics in combating money laundering, with a focus on its practical and systemic application in modern AML frameworks.

Contemporary theoretical approaches further emphasize the importance of integrating financial forensics with risk management systems and internal controls (Kostadinović & Ilievska Kostadinović, 2025; Dašić, 2025). Rather than functioning as an isolated investigative activity, financial forensics is increasingly viewed as part of a broader framework of organizational resilience, contributing to the identification of weaknesses in the control environment and the enhancement of preventive mechanisms. This approach enables organizations to detect indicators of potential irregularities earlier and reduce the likelihood of their escalation into serious financial fraud (Rezaee, 2005).

Moreover, the development of international standards and professional guidelines further contributes to the formalization of financial forensics methodology. The standardization of procedures, documentation, and

evidence evaluation enhances the reliability of findings and their admissibility in legal proceedings. In this way, financial forensics is positioned not only as a technical discipline, but also as a key element of institutional trust in financial reporting and oversight mechanisms (Rezaee, 2005).

The Role of Financial Forensics in the Prevention and Detection of Money Laundering

The prevention of money laundering is based on the early identification of risks, understanding clients' business activities, and the implementation of control mechanisms that reduce the possibility of misuse of the financial system. In this context, financial forensics represents an important preventive element, as it enables a deeper understanding of transactional flows and client behavior that formal AML procedures often treat superficially or in a fragmented manner. Unlike standard compliance systems, which largely rely on predefined rules and thresholds, the forensic approach is grounded in the analysis of the economic substance of transactions and the identification of inconsistencies in business patterns.

Research indicates that the effectiveness of AML systems significantly increases when money laundering risk assessment is based on both qualitative and quantitative analyses that incorporate forensic elements (Masciandaro et al., 2021). Financial forensics allows risk assessment results to be used not only for regulatory reporting but also as a basis for adjusting internal controls, defining priority monitoring areas, and optimizing resources. In this way, the AML framework is transformed from a static compliance system into a dynamic risk management mechanism.

Additionally, the preventive role of financial forensics is reflected in strengthening organizational culture and awareness of financial crime. Empirical studies suggest that the presence of forensic capabilities within an organization has a deterrent effect on potential offenders and reduces tolerance for risky behavior (Rezaee, 2005). In this sense, financial forensics functions not only as a technical tool but also as an institutional mechanism for enhancing integrity.

The detection of money laundering represents a central challenge of modern AML systems due to the large volume of financial data and increasingly sophisticated criminal schemes. Financial forensics contributes to this

process through the application of combined analytical and investigative techniques that enable the identification of complex behavioral patterns. Anomaly detection, client segmentation based on risk profiles, tracking of financial flows, and identification of related entities allow forensic professionals to assess transactions within a broader context rather than as isolated events.

The literature emphasizes that traditional AML systems often generate a high number of false positives, leading to investigative overload and reduced detection efficiency (Levi et al., 2022). The forensic approach enables additional filtering and prioritization of cases based on professional judgment and in-depth analysis, thereby increasing the likelihood of identifying genuinely suspicious transactions.

Table 1. Linking Money Laundering Stages, Forensic Methods, and AML Mechanisms

Money Laundering Stage	Core Characteristics	Key Forensic Methods	AML Mechanisms
Placement	Initial entry of illicit funds into the financial system, often via cash or simple transactions	Source-of-funds analysis; transaction reasonableness tests	KYC/CDD procedures; cash transaction monitoring
Layering	Complex and often cross-border transactions to obscure the origin of funds	Transaction flow reconstruction; network analysis	Transaction monitoring systems; STR/SAR reporting; FIU cooperation
Integration	Reintroduction of laundered funds into the legitimate economy	Economic substance analysis; asset–income consistency analysis	Enhanced due diligence; regulatory and tax oversight
All stages	Continuous exposure to misuse of financial channels	Professional skepticism; integrated qualitative–quantitative analysis	Integrated AML programs; supervisory oversight

The development of advanced analytics and artificial intelligence has further expanded the possibilities of forensic detection. However, research warns that automated models must be complemented by forensic interpretation to ensure that results are understandable and evidentially robust (Chen et al., 2012). In this context, financial forensics plays a crucial role in interpreting analytical outputs, identifying the root causes of anomalies, and documenting findings in a manner suitable for further investigation.

Following the identification of suspicious activities, financial forensics moves into the investigation and reporting phase, which represents a critical point in the fight against money laundering. Forensic investigations involve the detailed reconstruction of financial flows, identification of beneficial owners, and linking transactions to potential criminal offenses. The quality and clarity of forensic reports directly influence the ability of financial intelligence units and judicial authorities to proceed effectively.

The literature highlights that suspicious transaction reports are effective only when supported by clearly structured forensic findings explaining why a particular activity has been assessed as risky (Unger & Ferwerda, 2018). In this sense, financial forensics acts as a translator between financial data and legal standards, enabling complex transactions to be presented in a clear and evidentially sound manner.

The international dimension of money laundering further emphasizes the importance of forensic cooperation. Differences in legal systems, limitations in data exchange, and the use of cross-border structures require a high level of coordination between institutions. Research shows that the success of AML systems largely depends on the quality of cooperation between the private and public sectors, with financial forensics serving as a key operational element of this collaboration (Bussmann, 2020).

Despite its significant potential, the application of financial forensics faces several challenges that may limit its effectiveness. The most commonly identified issues include a lack of specialized personnel, high implementation costs of forensic tools, and limited access to relevant data. Additionally, inconsistent regulatory expectations may create uncertainty regarding the scope and application of forensic analyses.

Research indicates that excessive reliance on formal procedures without adequate forensic expertise can lead to an “illusion of control,” where the

system appears compliant but is not truly effective (Bierstaker et al., 2020). For this reason, contemporary approaches emphasize the need for an integrated model in which financial forensics functions as an integral part of a broader money laundering risk management system, with clearly defined responsibilities, quality standards, and continuous methodological improvement.

An additional dimension of financial forensics lies in its ability to connect micro-level transaction analysis with macro-level risk patterns. This enables institutions to identify not only individual suspicious transactions but also systemic vulnerabilities that facilitate money laundering activities. Such insights are particularly valuable in the context of evolving financial technologies and increasingly complex financial ecosystems (Ferwerda et al., 2020). Furthermore, financial forensics contributes to improving decision-making processes within AML systems by combining analytical rigor with professional judgment. This hybrid approach allows for a more accurate distinction between genuinely suspicious activities and formally triggered alerts, reducing false positives and enhancing the overall efficiency of AML operations (Ferwerda et al., 2020).

Conclusion

Contemporary forms of money laundering, characterized by complex transactional structures, cross-border capital flows, and the use of advanced technologies, pose significant challenges to traditional monitoring and control mechanisms. Based on the analysis of relevant academic literature and theoretical approaches, this paper has demonstrated that financial forensics plays a key and indispensable role in enhancing systems for the prevention and detection of money laundering, particularly in areas where standard AML tools exhibit limitations.

The paper shows that financial forensics goes beyond the scope of traditional auditing and formal regulatory compliance, as it is grounded in hypothesis-driven analysis, professional skepticism, and a deep understanding of the economic substance of transactions. Such an approach enables the identification of complex patterns of financial crime that often remain undetected in rules-based transaction monitoring systems. A particular contribution of financial forensics lies in its ability to connect quantitative findings with qualitative interpretation, thereby increasing detection accuracy and reducing the number of false positives.

The analysis of the theoretical framework and methodologies of financial forensics indicates that its significance is not limited to the detection phase, but that it also has a strong preventive function. By integrating forensic methods into risk assessment, internal control design, and continuous monitoring, financial institutions can significantly improve the effectiveness of AML systems and move from formal compliance with regulatory requirements toward substantive risk management of money laundering. In this way, financial forensics contributes to strengthening institutional integrity and the resilience of the financial system.

The paper also highlights the crucial role of financial forensics in the investigation and reporting phases, where the quality and structure of forensic findings directly affect the ability of financial intelligence units and judicial authorities to take further action. In the international context, where money laundering often involves multiple jurisdictions and complex legal regimes, financial forensics acts as a connecting element between financial data and legal standards, enabling more effective cooperation and prosecution.

At the same time, the paper identifies certain limitations in the application of financial forensics, including a shortage of qualified professionals, high implementation costs, and challenges related to the evidentiary use of advanced analytics. These limitations point to the need for further development of standards, training, and institutional capacities in order to ensure sustainable and consistent application of forensic approaches in the AML context.

Based on the above, it can be concluded that financial forensics represents one of the key pillars of modern systems for the prevention and detection of money laundering. Its full value is realized only when it is integrated into a broader AML framework encompassing risk assessment, transaction monitoring, institutional cooperation, and legally sustainable evidence-building. Future research may focus on the empirical evaluation of the effects of forensic methods in practice, as well as on analyzing their interaction with emerging technologies, thereby further advancing the understanding and effectiveness of combating money laundering.

References

1. Akinbowale, O. E., Mashigo, P., & Zerihun, M. F. (2023). The integration of forensic accounting and big data technology frameworks for internal fraud mitigation in the banking industry. *Cogent Business & Management, 10*(1), 2163560. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2163560
2. Baer, M. H. (2017). Insider threats, civil money laundering, and the scope of AML obligations. *Florida Law Review, 69*(1), 1–52. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2700447
3. Bierstaker, J. L., Brody, R. G., & Pacini, C. (2020). Accountants' perceptions regarding fraud detection and prevention methods. *Accounting Horizons, 34*(2), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-52639
4. Button, M., Lewis, C., & Tapley, J. (2014). Not a victimless crime. *Security Journal, 27*(1), 36–54. https://doi.org/10.1057/sj.2012.11
5. Bussmann, K. D. (2020). The prevention of money laundering and compliance: An international perspective. *Crime, Law and Social Change, 73*(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-019-09869-0
6. Chaikin, D. (2009). How effective are suspicious transaction reporting systems? *Journal of Money Laundering Control, 12*(3), 238–253. https://doi.org/10.1108/13685200910973628
7. Chen, H., Chiang, R. H. L., & Storey, V. C. (2012). Business intelligence and analytics. *MIS Quarterly, 36*(4), 1165–1188. https://doi.org/10.2307/41703503
8. Dašić, B. (2025). Analysis of public finance management reform within the comprehensive public administration reform. *Management Horizons, 5*(1), 55–71. https://hm.edu.rs/index.php/hm/article/view/6
9. Dorminey, J. W., Fleming, A. S., Kranacher, M.-J., & Riley, R. A. (2012). The evolution of fraud theory. *Issues in Accounting Education, 27*(2), 555–579. https://doi.org/10.2308/iace-50131
10. Ellili, N., Nobanee, H., Haddad, A., Alodat, A. Y., & AlShalloudi, M. (2024). Emerging trends in forensic accounting research. *Journal of Economic Criminology, 4*, 100065. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconc.2024.100065
11. Ferwerda, J., Deleanu, I., & Unger, B. (2020). Corruption in public procurement. *European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research, 26*, 245–267. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10610-019-09405-2
12. Gowhor, H. S. (2023). Effectiveness criteria of suspicious transaction reporting for early detection of terrorist financing activities. *Journal of*

Financial Crime, 31(6), 1516–1531. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-10-2023-0254
13. Honigsberg, C. (2020). Forensic accounting. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science, 16*(1), 147–164. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-lawsocsci-020320-022159
14. Hopwood, W. S., Leiner, J. J., & Young, G. R. (2008). *Forensic accounting*. McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
15. Jullum, M., Løland, A., Huseby, R. B., Ånonsen, G., & Lorentzen, J. (2020). Detecting money laundering transactions with machine learning. *Journal of Money Laundering Control, 23*(1), 173–186. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMLC-07-2019-0055
16. Kostadinović, G., & Ilievska Kostadinović, M. (2025). Integration of TQM and financial management: Impact on profitability and risk. *Management Horizons, 5*(1), 113–125. https://hm.edu.rs/index.php/hm/article/view/9
17. Kumar, S., Khan, S., & Yadav, R. (2025). A bibliometric analysis of research on forensic accounting from 2006 to 2024. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open, 12*, 101693. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101693
18. Levi, M., Reuter, P., & Halliday, T. C. (2022). Can the AML system work? *Crime, Law and Social Change*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-022-10025-5
19. Louwers, T. J. (2015). The past, present, and future (?) of crime-related forensic accounting methodology. *Accounting Research Journal, 28*(1), 4–9. https://doi.org/10.1108/ARJ-04-2015-0047
20. Masciandaro, D., Takáts, E., & Unger, B. (2021). Blacklisting and the AML regime. *Journal of Financial Stability, 54*, 100871. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2021.100871
21. Milojević, I., & Milanović, A. (2025). Accounting analysis of the financial position of company. *Management Horizons, 5*(1), 11–24. https://hm.edu.rs/index.php/hm/article/view/4
22. Oztas, B., Cetinkaya, D., Adedoyin, F., Budka, M., Aksu, G., & Dogan, H. (2024). Transaction monitoring in anti-money laundering. *Future Generation Computer Systems, 159*, 161–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2024.05.027
23. Ozili, P. K. (2023). Forensic accounting research around the world. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting, 23*(1), 128–153. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-02-2023-0106
24. Pearson, T. A., & Singleton, T. W. (2008). Fraud and forensic accounting in the digital environment. *Issues in Accounting Education, 23*(4), 545–559. https://doi.org/10.2308/iace.2008.23.4.545

25. Rezaee, Z. (2005). Causes, consequences, and deterrence of financial statement fraud. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting, 16*(3), 277–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1045-2354(03)00072-8
26. Takáts, E. (2011). A theory of "crying wolf". *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization, 27*(1), 32–78. https://doi.org/10.1093/jleo/ewp018
27. Tiwari, R. K., & Debnath, J. (2017). Forensic accounting: A blend of knowledge. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance, 25*(1), 73–85. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRC-05-2016-0043
28. Unger, B., & Ferwerda, J. (2018). Money laundering and the financing of terrorism. In L. Paoli (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of organized crime*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199730445.013.33
29. Yang, C., & Lee, K. (2020). Developing a strategy map for forensic accounting with fraud risk management. *Evaluation and Program Planning, 83*, 101780. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2020.101780
30. Žužić Dupovac, V., Isaković-Kaplan, Š., & Demirović, L. (2025). Forensic accounting and investigations in the fight against money laundering. *Journal of Forensic Accounting Profession, 5*(1), 83–108. https://doi.org/10.2478/jfap-2025-0005